



Addendum #3
RFP #46-24, Fiber Optic Installation and Maintenance Services
July 1, 2024

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following addendum serves to provide clarification and to answer the questions received on RFP #46-24, Fiber Optic Installation and Maintenance Services.

Question 1: Is it the Cities intention to maintain distinctly separate conduit systems for ATMS segments vs City segments?

Answer to Question 1: Yes, it is the City's intention to maintain distinctly separate conduit systems for ATMS vs City segments.

Question 2: If #1 is true, are there ANY exceptions to this?

Answer to Question 2: There are no exceptions to this.

Question 3: If #2 is to the affirmative, what would constitute an exception?

Answer to Question 3: This does not apply.

Question 4: Is it the Cities intention to maintain distinctly separate fiber systems for ATMS vs City?

Answer to Question 4: Yes, it is the City's intention to maintain distinctly separate fiber systems for ATMS vs City.

Question 5: If #4 is true, are there ANY exceptions to this?

Answer to Question 5: There are no exceptions to this.

Question 6: If #5 is to the affirmative, what would constitute an exception?

Answer to Question 6: This does not apply.

Question 7: Is the City opposed to HDPE pull boxes and splice vaults providing they maintain or exceed the same ANSI/SCTE/ASTM specs as the materials directly called out for in the RFP?

Answer to Question 7: For the purposes of estimates related to this RFP, the specified equipment and materials should be used in all responses. Please reference Exhibit C_Materials and Specifications.

Question 8: Is the City opposed to higher recommendations on materials, i.e. Corning SPH panels vs inferior Gatorpatch?

Answer to Question 8: See Answer to Question 7 above.

Question 9: Can Addendum 2 question #14 be taken as a guiding principle on the entirety of the City and ATMS job segments/scopes?

Answer to Question 9: No. Respondents should incorporate the specified materials and equipment where identified. Question 14 of Addendum 2 posed a hypothetical scenario related to the need for splicing. While splicing will be needed, the specific locations for splicing vaults are not predetermined, and are not being asked for as part of the estimation process within the RFP. Respondents should focus on developing estimates based on the provided information. They may also voluntarily provide additional information or comments they deem important.



Question 10: Follow up question – can the respondent drop additional conduit in the ground?

Answer to Question 10: No, the Respondent cannot drop additional conduit in the ground.

Please Note: The ten (10) day deadline for submitting questions is now closed and no further questions will be responded to.

End of Questions and Answers

All other dates and terms and conditions remain the same in this Request for Proposal.

End of Addenda